

To: Leo Postma [Leo.Postma@deltares.nl]; Canizares, Rafael"
[RCanizares@moffattnichol.com]; Mathew, Rooni" [rmathew@moffattnichol.com]; an van
Beek [Jan.vanBeek@deltares.nl]
Cc: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; Alice Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; Stephanie
Vaughn/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; "Tsang, Frank" [TsangC@cdm.com]; lice
Yeh/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; Stephanie Vaughn/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; "Tsang, Frank"
[TsangC@cdm.com]; tephane Vaughn/R2/USEPA/US@EPA; "Tsang, Frank"
[TsangC@cdm.com]; Tsang, Frank" [TsangC@cdm.com];
'Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil'" [Elizabeth.A.Buckrucker@usace.army.mil];
Garland, Edward" [Edward.Garland@hdrinc.com]; Wands, James"
[James.Wands@hdrinc.com]
From: "Fitzpatrick, James"
Sent: Mon 6/4/2012 4:43:14 PM
Subject: RE: OC model replacement feature
[Response-CPG-OrganicCarbonMemo.docx](#)

Leo, Rafa,

Please find attached our response to your organic carbon replacement memo of May 15, 2012. While, in general, the preliminary results appear quite favorable, there are a couple of additional plots that we would like the CPG to generate for our review. The memo also explains how the organic carbon and contaminant models address deposition/resuspension and the active and archive layers in the sediment bed. If you still have questions on the latter issue, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

Jim